

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/196,013	11/19/1998	NORIO KOMA	5586D-7076	8659
26021 75	90 03/22/2002			
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900			NGUYEN, FRANCIS N	
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90071-2611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· -		2674	
And the second second			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	office Action Summary	09/196,013	KOMA, NORIO
	mee Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
- Tho	MAN INC DATE OF	FRANCIS NGUYEN	2674
Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
- Extensions of after SIX (6) I - If the period f - If NO period f - Failure to rep - Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. I time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will by within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication
1)☐ Resp	consive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ohrunn. 0000	
2a)⊠ This	mostly to make a contract of the contract of t		
• •	/LJ 11118	action is non-final.	
close Disposition of	e this application is in condition for allowared in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Claims	ice except for formal matters x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4)☐ Claim	(s) <u>1.3-5 and 7-17</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of	the above claim(s) is/are withdrawi	n from consideration	
5) Claim	(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim((s) <u>1,3-5 and 7-17</u> is/are rejected.		•
7)☐ Claim((s) is/are objected to.		·
8)∏ Claim(Application Par	s) are subject to restriction and/or epers	election requirement.	
9)∐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)∐ The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepte	d or h) abjected to by the E	uamin - a
Applic	eant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) he held in abeyonce	See 27 OFF 4 OF()
11)⊠ The pro	posed drawing correction filed on <u>05 Febr</u>	uary 2002 is: a)⊠ approved	b) disapproved but to
If appr	oved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action	disapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oat	h or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknow	vledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. & 110	(a)-(d) or (b
a)∏ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(a) (a) or (i).
1. 🗌 C	Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received	-
2. 🗌 C	Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Applica	etion No
3.∟∫ C	copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea attached detailed Office action for a list of t	documents have been received.	ved in this National Stage
14) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 35 U.S.C. & 110	(a) (to a province all and the control of the contr
a) 🔲 ine	translation of the foreign language provised gment is made of a claim for domestic p	innal application has been re	المسائمة
ttachment(s)		12	o anu/01 121.
☐ Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) elosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>11</u> .	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Offic 0-326 (Rev. 04-01)	e Office Action	Summary	Part of Paner No. 17

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 2/26/2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Appplication No. 09/196,013 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. It is noted that in paper #10, no amendment to pending claims was made. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McKnight (U.S. Patent 6,144,353).
- 4. As to **claim 1**, McKnight discloses a liquid crystal display(LCD system 12, column 8, lines 48-51) having liquid crystal sandwiched between a pair of substrates (electro-optic layer 22, figure 1A, column 3, line 61) having electrodes (pixel electrode 28 and common electrode 26, column 8, lines 56-64) for driving the liquid crystal based on respective R, G, and B signals (figure 2C, three different driving waveforms 154/155/156) to control transmittance of each of the R light component, G, and B light

Art Unit: ***

components for color display, wherein each of upper limit values of ranges for driving voltages respectively for R display, G display, and B display(display of first color subframe, second color subframe and third color subframe, figures 3A and 3B). Note figure 2C indicates the intensity R/G/B display at t2, t4 and t6, and control voltages of common electrode Vcg, also overdrive voltage (column 9, lines 62-63). Note the corresponding one-to-one relationship between driving voltage and intensity as illustrated in figure 2B and note the separate display of R light, G light, B light in figure 2C. Therefore, the ground of rejection is maintained.

5. As to **claim 5**, note the same citations for claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKnight in view of Sawada (U.S. Patent 6,078,317).
- 8. As to **claims 3 and 7**, McKnight fails to teach gamma correction. Sawada discloses gamma characteristic adjustment circuit 19(figure 1, column 6, lines 63-65)

Art Unit: 2674

for LCD display. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the apparatus of McKnight then add a gamma adjustment circuitry, as taught by Sawada, to obtain the combined apparatus McKnight-Sawada, because it would result in proper luminance on LCD display. The ground of rejection is maintained.

- 9. As to claims 4 and 8, McKnight-Sawada does not expressly teach poly-Si TFT. However, fabrication and application to Active Matrix LCD is well known in the affat the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make use of poly-Si TFT as switching elements in the apparatus of McKnight-Sawada because it would result in increased aperture ratio, production yield and increase of pixel density in LCD display. The ground of rejection is maintained.
- 10. As to **claims 9-17**, see the same citations above. The ground of rejection is the same as that of claims 1, 3-5, 7-8.
- 11. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this Continued Prosecution Application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing under 37 CFR 1.53(d). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2674

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **FRANCIS N NGUYEN** whose telephone number is **703 308-8858**. The examiner can normally be reached during hours 8:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached at 703 305-4579.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703)306-0377.

Art Unit: 7674

FRANCIS N NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2674

FN 3/18/2002

> RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600